

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	10/718,386	NOZATO, KOJI	
	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Myles D. Robinson	2625	

All Participants:

(1) Myles D. Robinson.

(2) Casey R. Huffmire.

Date of Interview: 3 July 2008

Status of Application: Non-Final Rejection

(3) _____.

(4) _____.

Time: 3:45PM

Type of Interview:

☒ Telephonic

☐ Video Conference

☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☒ No

If Yes, provide a brief description: _____.

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:

N/A

Claims discussed:

2 and 8

Prior art documents discussed:

N/A

Part II.

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

The Examiner proposed claim amendments to better place application in condition for allowance on July 2, 2008. The Applicant then returned the phone call authorizing those proposed amendments on July 3, 2008.

Part III.

☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.

☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

/Myles D. Robinson/
Examiner, Art Unit 2625

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)